Characterization of benzil bis o-phenylenediamine based macro cyclic Co-complexes using x-ray spectroscopic techniques (XRD and EXAFS)
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Abstract—Schiff base condensation of benzil bis o-phenylenediamine with acetophenone, benzaldehyde and p-chloro benzaldehyde and its cobalt complexes were synthesized. Preparation of the free ligands was accomplished by refluxing benzil with o-phenylenediamine in 1:10 mole ratio in methanol. The XRD and EXAFS characterization of benzil bis o-phenylenediamine based macro cyclic complexes of Cobalt was undertaken. From the result of investigations of XRD particle size and lattice parameter have been estimated. The XRD analysis revealed the crystalline nature of all complexes. EXAFS spectra have been recorded at the K-edge of Co using the dispersive EXAFS beam line at 2.5 GeV Indus-2 synchrotron radiation source RRCAT, Indore, India. From the fine structure data the average metal-ligand bond distances have been estimated. Bond lengths for Co-complexes have been determined with the help of Levy’s, LSS, Lytle’s, Fourier transform methods.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Schiff bases of o-phenylenediamine and its complexes have a variety of application including clinical, analytical and biological. Schiff bases derived from the salicylaldehydes are well known as polydentate ligands coordinating in neutral forms. [1,2]. X-ray diffraction XRD technique is used to know crystal structure, lattice parameter, particle size and orientation of polycrystals[3]. EXAFS spectroscopy provides information about the chemical environment of a single element in terms of the number and type of its neighbours, inter-atomic distances and structural disorders using Fourier transform of EXAFS spectrum.[4,5] The bond lengths can also be determined by three other methods, namely Levy’s, Lytle’s and Lytle, Sayers and Stern’s [LSS] methods. The LSS method gives phase uncorrected bond length of first shell [6,7]. Fourier transform and LSS method both provides phase uncorrected bond lengths. In the present work Schiff base condensation of benzil bis o-phenylenediamine with acetophenone, p-chlorobenzaldehyde and benzaldehyde and its Co complexes were synthesised. Schiff base condensation of bis o-phenylenediamine with suitable aldehydes and ketones would form a macro cyclic and macro acyclic molecules which will have more number of nitrogen atoms, and this will behave as better coordinating ligands for variety of metal atoms [8]. Hence the synthesis and characterisation of Characterization of benzil bis o-phenylenediamine based macro cyclic Co-complexes was undertaken. Paper has been written into four distinct sections, Introduction, Methodology, Results and discussion and Conclusions. Introduction section contains a brief review of literature survey related to the present work, motivation and scope of the work. The section on methodology contains sample preparation and measurements techniques. Results and discussion section deals with the results, analysis and discussion. Conclusion part narrates the major conclusions drawn from the results.

II. METHODOLOGY

Preparation of benzil bis o-phenylenediamine

Benzil (10.5 g, 50 mmol) was dissolved in 100 ml of methanol and o-phenylenediamine (54.05 g, 50 mmol) was added to it. The resulting solution was refluxed for 3 h and then it was left in the air. After 20 h the precipitated white
microcrystalline compound was filtered off and washed with 100 mL of water. The compound was dried in air.

**Synthesis of macro cyclic complexes:**

**Synthesis of [Co(C66H56N8)]Cl₂ or (Co-AP)**

Acetophenone (1.2 g, 10mmol) was added to a solution of CoCl₂ (0.55g, 5mmol) in 15 mL of methanol. To this solution, benzil bis o-phenylenediamine (3.9g, 10mmol) was added. The solution was stirred for 1h and 30 minutes at room temperature. Finally the precipitates were dried in air.

**Synthesis of [Co(C64H52N8)]Cl₂ or (Co-BZ)**

To a solution of CoCl₂ (0.11g, 1mmol) in 3mL of methanol, benzaldehyde (0.4g, 3mmol) was added. To this solution benzil bis o-phenylenediamine (1.1g, 3mmol) was added. The reaction was refluxed for 2 h and left for precipitation.

**Synthesis of [Co(C54H50N8)]Cl₂ or (Co-P)**

To a vigorously stirred solution of CoCl₂ (0.16g, 1.5mmol) in 4.5 mL of methanol, p-chlorobenzaldehyde (0.4g, 3mmol) was added. To this solution, benzil bis o-phenylenediamine (1.1g, 3mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h and left for precipitation.

X-ray diffraction pattern have been recorded by Bruker D8 advance diffract meter at IUC, Indore. The X-ray absorption spectra at the K-edge of cobalt of these complexes have been recorded at BL-8 Dispersive EXAFS beamline at the 2.5-GeV INDES-2 Synchrotron Source, Raja Ramam Centre for Advanced Technology (RRCAT), Indore, India.

The transmitted beam intensity from the sample is recorded on a position sensitive CCD detector. The intensities I₀ and Iₜ are obtained as the CCD outputs without and with the sample respectively. Using the relation, It = I₀e⁻μx, where μ is the absorption coefficient and x is the thickness of the absorber. The experiment data have been analysed using software Origin 9 and Athena 0.80.056. First, the normalized μ(E) versus E spectra are obtained, then χ(k) versus k spectra are obtained, and finally, the Fourier transforms of χ(k) versus k spectra are obtained.

**III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The crystal structure and lattice parameter were analysed by Bragg’s law, 2dsinθ=λ and particle size is calculated by Debye Scherer’s formula, t=0.9⁄B cosθ. The XRD pattern of the complexes is reported in figure 1. The particle size and lattice parameter are shown in table 1. The X-ray analysis shows the complexes are crystalline in nature.

The normalized X-ray absorption spectra of Co-complexes are shown in figure 2(a). The EXAFS spectra converted into k-space have been given in figure 2(b). A Fourier transform is applied to these data to convert into R-space is shown in figure 2(c). The bond lengths of Co-complexes were calculated using Levy’s method, the slope of channel No. n verses wave vector k curves by LSS method [9, 10]. The slope of energy level Q verses energy E curves have been used to evaluate the bond lengths by Lytle’s method [11]. The magnitudes of Fourier transform are shown in figure 2.

The phase-uncorrected bond length has been determined by the Fourier transform technique. Before Fourier transform technique was formulated, the bond lengths were extracted from the EXAFS data by the three graphical methods viz. Levy’s, Lytle’s and Sayers and Stern (LSS) methods and the results are given in Table 2.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

As is evident from the analysis of X-ray diffraction pattern, one can conclude that the samples exhibit crystalline nature and shape of unit cell is simple cubic. From the positions of the EXAFS maxima and minima, the bond lengths of the Co-complexes has been determined by three different methods viz. Levy’s, Lytle’s and LSS methods. From the Fourier transforms of the EXAFS spectra, the bond length (uncorrected for phase shift) has been determined. It has been observed that the values of the phase-uncorrected bond length, i.e. R₁-α₁ as determined from LSS method and that determined from the Fourier transformation method, are in good agreement with each other.

**Table 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of Complexes</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>Lattice parameter (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[Co(C₅₆H₅₀N₈)]Cl₂/Co-BZ</td>
<td>81.85</td>
<td>5.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[Co(C₅₄H₄₆N₈)]Cl₂/Co-P</td>
<td>69.85</td>
<td>5.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[Co(C₅₄H₅₂N₈)]Cl₂/Co-AP</td>
<td>73.47</td>
<td>5.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Name of Complexes</th>
<th>Phase corrected</th>
<th>Phase uncorrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Levy’s method R&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Lytle method R&lt;sub&gt;s&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>[Co(C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;8&lt;/sub&gt;)Cl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;]</td>
<td>Co-AP</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>[Co(C&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;8&lt;/sub&gt;)Cl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;]</td>
<td>Co-BZ</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>[Co(C&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;N&lt;sub&gt;8&lt;/sub&gt;)Cl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;]</td>
<td>Co-P</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. XRD pattern

Figure 2. For complexes 1–3 (a) normalized EXAFS spectra at the K-edge of Cobalt, (b) χ(k) vs. k spectra, and (c) Fourier transform of χ (k) vs. k spectra.
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