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Abstract - Frequent pattern mining has been a focused theme in data mining research and the first step in the analysis of data rising in a broad range of applications. Apriori based algorithms have used candidate itemsets generation method, but this approach was highly time-consuming. Several research works have been carried out which can avoid the generating vast volume of candidate itemsets. In this paper, a new approach Compacting Data Sets is introduced. In Compacting Data Sets (CDS) approach first merging of duplicate transactions is being performed and then intersection between itemsets is taken and then deleting unneeded subsets repeatedly. This algorithm differs from all classical frequent itemset discovering algorithms in such a way that it not only removes unnecessary candidate generation but also removes duplicate transactions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mining frequent itemsets (or patterns) is a key problem in data mining and it is widely used in applications concerning association rules. A frequent itemset is called maximal frequent itemset (MFI) if it is not a subset of any other frequent itemset. All frequent itemsets are considered implicitly in the maximal frequent itemset because the issue of discovering frequent itemset can be converted to the issue of discovering maximal frequent itemset. Besides, only maximal frequent itemset is needed in some of the data mining applications instead of the frequent itemset. In 1998, Bayardo presented an algorithm of mining maximal frequent itemset denoted as Max-Miner, which has used set-enumeration tree as the concept framework and adopted breadth-first searching method, as well as superset pruning strategy.

2. RELATED WORK

Association mining over dynamic dataset is a challenging area of research for the data mining researchers. Several recent works can be found in the literature to meet this challenge. Some of them are: FUP [Cheung et al., 1996], FUP2 (Cheung et al., 1997), MAAP (Ezeife and Su, 2002), Borders (Feldman et al., 1999), Modified borders (Das and Bhattacharyya, 2005). Next, we report some of these in brief and also discuss their pros and cons.

MAAP [Ezeife and Su] This algorithm first finds out the old frequent itemsets that will remain frequent in the updated dataset also. Downward closure property of frequent itemsets makes this job little simpler. Then it checks for possible new frequent itemset, and if found then new candidates are

FUP [Cheung et al.] FUP first scans the incremental part of the dataset and detects (i) the looser single itemsets, i.e. the itemsets that becomes infrequent due to the inclusion of the incremented part and (ii) it finds the candidate frequent itemsets. Then the whole dataset (i.e. the old and new together) is scanned to find their support in the complete dataset. Next, it performs similar operations iteratively for k-itemsets. Finally, after multiple scanning of the dataset it finds all the maximal frequent sets.

Borders [Feldman et al., (1999)] This algorithm finds the frequent itemsets from the dynamic dataset, using the frequent itemsets already discovered from the old dataset. Here, an infrequent itemset is termed as border set if all the non empty proper subsets of it are frequent. Due to the insertion of new records to the dataset, some of the border sets may become frequent, and is termed as promoted border set. For that the border sets of the old dataset also have to be maintained along with the frequent sets derived. Based on the promoted border set, some new candidate itemsets are generated and checked for frequent set.

3. BASIC CONCEPTS

Let I = \{i₁,i₂,...,iₘ\} be a set of m distinct items. A transaction T is defined as any subset of items in I.
A transaction database D is a set of transactions. A transaction T is said to support an itemset X ⊆ I if it contains all items of X. The fraction of the transaction in D that support X is called the support of X, denoted as support(X). An itemset is frequent if its support is above some users
defined minimum support threshold. Otherwise, it is infrequent.
1. The number of items in an itemset is called the length of an itemset. Itemsets of some length k are referred to as k-itemsets.
2. A frequent itemset is called maximal if it is not a subset of any other frequent itemset.
   Property 1: If an itemset is frequent, all its subsets must be frequent.
   Property 2: Maximal frequent itemset is a subset of frequent itemsets.
   Property 3: if Y is a subset of X (i.e. Y \subseteq X), then support(Y) \geq support(X).

4. PROBLEM STATEMENT
Several algorithms have been developed for mining frequent patterns. They are basically divided into two categories:-
(a) Apriori based
(b) FP tree based
Following problems have been observed with these algorithms.
(1) They require huge number of candidate generation
(2) Large number of data scanning is being performed
(3) They work only for static database
(4) Needs lot of input/output operations

5. PROPOSED METHODS
Proposed algorithm is divided into two phases. In the first phase generation of compact dataset for given database is being carried out, following in the second phase intersection between the rows is performed. Both the phases are being created in order to reduce the length of itemset and the volume of data set. Based on length of item sets, first screening of the data set in descending order is done, and then transactions with support count greater then minimal support threshold to a frequent item set are moved in one set, and deletion of all subsets of those transactions to distill the data set is carried.

Step 1: Scan the data set to find frequent one item set.
Step 2: Scan the data set, delete all infrequent 1-itemset from all transactions; and then integrate identical transactions. Then sort the data-set in descending order of length of item set.
Step3: Process every transaction T in S with minimum support count greater then threshold. Move these T in one set denoted and delete all T³ (T³ \subset T² 3>2)
Step 4: Delete all non-MFI.
Step 5: End

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TID</th>
<th>Itemset</th>
<th>Support count</th>
<th>Count with Subset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>ABCD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 (Compacted data set of D')
In the original database there are nine transactions, three more transaction are added to the database. Now total 12 transactions are there in the updated database. For this updated database next we generate compact data set. Suppose 50% minimum support count is taken.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TID</th>
<th>Itemset</th>
<th>Support count</th>
<th>Sub Set Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>ABD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 (Itemset after performing row intersection)

6. PERFORMANCE STUDY
CDS algorithm is easy to implement. It is coded and tested using VB .Net language on a Pentium 3i/2.0 GHz CPU, 1GB memory running Windows 7 operating system. Testing dataset is extracted from a Medical store sales record. There are total 50 different items. We are tested 10000, 15,000 and 20,000 transactions respectively with each record having maximum 10 categories of commodity number. Figure 1 show the running time for different volume of datasets with
minimum support threshold of 10%, respectively. The bigger minimum support threshold, the lesser time.

Figure 1

Figure 2 show the running time for 10500 records for different minimum support threshold values i.e. 10%, 15% and 20%

7. CONCLUSION

CDS provides a new and efficient method for discovering frequent pattern; it compact data-set by deleting items in infrequent 1-itemsets and merging duplicate transactions repeatedly, and utilizes the subset of transactions with other transaction itemsets to perform pruning; along with the discovering process, with the increasing of the number of deleted transactions, the amount of time needed for calculating subset will decrease rapidly. It’s time & space cost drastically decrease when data-set volume increases, so its usability retains for MFI applications for high volume data-sets. The CDS algorithm can be further optimized in various aspects, such as to keep a record of all resulting sub set to avoid duplicated generation.
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