Alteration in Antioxidant Defence System and Oxidative Stress in blood of Liver Cirrhosis
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Abstract-
Serum liver marker enzymes ALT, AST, ALP and GGT are generally used as liver marker enzymes however they cannot provide exact idea regarding establishment of liver disease condition because many liver cirrhotic participants indicate non-significant alteration. Present study is aimed to know the level of antioxidant defense system and oxidative stress in controls and cirrhotic participants. The cross-sectional study was carried out at tertiary centre of gastroenterologist unit at Anand. Experimental participants were divided into two groups: Control (n= 52, Age- 26.7±1.23 years, 39 M/13 F) and cirrhosis (n= 44, Age- 53.48±2.02 years, 34 M/10 F). All participants underwent anthropometric assessment, clinical examination, biochemical parameters, antioxidant parameters and lipid peroxidation marker or oxidative stress marker. Results of our study indicates non-conclusive increase in serum enzyme level. As an average only 42-67 % of the participants indicates increase (ALT (55%), AST (67.5%), GGT (57.5%) and ALP (42.5%)). The total antioxidant activity (Gallic acid equivalent (GAE (p<0.0001)) and Trolox equivalent (TE (p<0.0001)) and antioxidant enzymes such as Catalase (CAT (p<0.0001)), Glutathione Peroxidase (GSHPx (p<0.0001)) and Glutathione-s-transferase (GST (p<0.0001)) were significantly decreased except superoxide dismutase (SOD (p<0.05)) during cirrhosis condition. Lipid peroxidation marker or oxidative stress marker (MDA) was significantly increased (p<0.0001) in cirrhotic participants compared to controls. The alteration in the above parameters is among all (100%) the participants. Study strongly indicates that antioxidant enzymes namely GST, GSHPx and CAT can be used as surrogate markers of oxidative stress for the cirrhosis condition.
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ABBRIVATIONS
BMI: body mass index
WBC: white blood cells
RBC: red blood cells
FBS: fasting blood glucose
HDL: high density lipoprotein
LDL: low density lipoprotein
ALT: Alanine aminotransferase
AST: Aspartate aminotransferase
GGT: γ-glutamyl transferase
ALP: alkaline phosphatase
GAE: gallic acid equivalent
TE: trolox equivalent
ROS: reactive oxygen species
HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma

I. INTRODUCTION

Cirrhosis is defined as the histological development of regenerative nodules surrounded by fibrous bands in response to chronic liver injury that leads to portal hypertension and end-stage liver disease [1, 2]. Hepatic stellate cells have an essential role in the pathogenesis of
liver fibrosis, due to which they control the matrix degradation in the liver [3]. Impaired liver function, portal hypertension and the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) are the main clinical consequences of cirrhosis [4, 2]. Alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease or hepatitis B and hepatitis C are causative factors of cirrhosis. Patient’s history, serologic and histologic evaluation identify the etiology of cirrhosis [2]. Cirrhosis is mounting as a public health problem due to double no of deaths from 5 in 1980 to 9.9 in 2005. Investigation of studies has revealed fatty liver disease in 70% of overweight and in 35% of normal weight persons. They have also discovered cirrhosis in 18.5% of overweight diabetics. Cirrhosis and HCC due to chronic hepatitis C are the main indicators for liver transplantation in developed countries [5]. Morbidly obese 109 participants followed for nearly 17 years indicates that prevalence of cirrhosis was less than 1% [6]. Approximately 9% to 26% of the participants died in 4 to 10 years of follow-up, with most causes of death linked with the end-stage liver disease [7]. Liver diseases due to excess alcohol ingestion is a major public health problem leading to morbidity and mortality all over the world. The incidence of alcohol consumption is reported as 5-20% in India. About half of all cirrhosis are alcohol induced and about 10–20 % of all alcoholics are cirrhotic in the world [8]. Moderate alcohol consumption, age above 50 years, and male gender have been described as risk factors in chronic hepatitis C [9]. Obesity at an older age, insulin resistance or type 2 diabetes, hypertension and hyperlipidemia in NASH are etiological factors that contribute to the development of cirrhosis [10]. Excess alcohol consumption increases the risk of progression [5]. Screening tests such as liver transaminases or radiologic findings are useful to diagnose liver diseases, further assessment by a liver biopsy could be necessary for the diagnosis of asymptomatic cirrhosis [2]. The transaminase levels could be in the normal range or only slightly elevated according to [2]. Currently, cirrhosis is not determinable by any biochemical laboratory test [5]. Liver enzymes are insensitive and cannot be used reliably to confirm the diagnosis or stage the extent of fibrosis [11]. Fibroscan can be used as additional tests to screen for the liver diseases. Sometimes, the participants feel healthy and have normal liver function tests, even though they have a liver stiffness greater than 8 kPa suggests that chronic liver disease is present [12]. Oxidative stress and the red cell membrane integrity play a vital role in cell lysis. ROS are generated from metabolism of different endogenous and exogenous compounds, alcohol and viruses. They rapidly react with different molecules and inhibit the cellular function which is involved in the pathogenesis of liver diseases. Insufficient removal of ROS may cause cell damage by attacking membrane lipids, proteins and inactivate enzymes resulted in tissue damage [13]. ROS and lipid peroxidation products are considered as a contributor of onset and development of hepatic fibrosis [14]. The antioxidant enzymes namely superoxide dismutase, catalase, and glutathione peroxidase and non-enzymatic antioxidants (antioxidant vitamins, uric acid, albumin, and bilirubin) help the body to defend against oxygen free radical toxicity [15]. The imbalance between oxidative stress and antioxidants have been observed to result in disturbances of antioxidant mechanisms and increased oxidative stress aggravating liver cirrhosis. As oxidative stress is a crucial factor in the progression of hepatic cirrhosis, efforts were made in the present study to elucidate the changes observed in the selected antioxidants and oxidative stress marker during liver cirrhosis and to evaluate appropriate diagnostic or remedial option.

II. RELATED WORK:

Sequential analysis of antioxidant enzymes, total antioxidant activity and oxidative stress marker was not found from the same individual however, some researchers worked on erythrocytes/plasma SOD [15, 16, 17, 18], catalase [16, 18, 19], GSHPx [16, 19] and MDA [4, 17, 18, 19, 20] in cirrhotic participants. They reported contradictory results. Therefore present study was planned to find out sequential data for total antioxidant activity, selected antioxidant enzymes (SOD, catalase, GSHPx, GST and GR) and oxidative stress marker from human blood samples.

III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design:
All participants underwent anthropometric assessment, clinical examination, biochemical parameters, antioxidant parameters and oxidative stress marker.

Participant recruitment procedure:
Inclusion Criteria:
Cross-sectional study includes cirrhosis and controls recruited from tertiary centre of gastroenterologist unit, Anand. Controls (n=52) [Participants with normal clinical examination, no symptoms, normal vital signs and has normal biochemical parameters such as blood glucose, lipid profile, liver function test, kidney function test, free of infection at least 30 days prior to the study] and cirrhotic participants (n=44) [diagnosed on the basis of the presence of a history of decompensation, stigmata of the chronic liver disease, portal hypertension on imaging, esophageal varices on endoscopy and cirrhosis on histology] were considered for the study and diagnosed by a gastroenterologist.

Exclusion Criteria:
Pregnant and lactating women, Alcoholic, Smokers/ tobacco users, Exposure to radiation, Exposure to ozone therapy or hyperbaric oxygen therapy, Exposure to heavy metals or...
ayurvedic medicine, Infection within 30 days, Cancer, Cardiac, gastro-intestinal and brain ischemic disease, Currently not using antioxidant drugs which affect the oxidative stress and antioxidant status were excluded.

Informed Consent:
Explain interest of the study to the participants and informed consent were taken.

Anthropometric assessment and clinical parameters and biochemical parameters
Anthropometric assessment includes the record for age, height and weight (Height was measured using height board which is fixed to the wall and weight using high quality electronic digital scale). BMI was calculated using formula BMI= [body weight (kg)]/ [(height) (m)^2] [21]. Measurement of blood pressure (Systolic/Diastolic-(120/80)) was done by sphygmomanometers. Blood (6-7 ml) was collected from all the participants at fasting condition in plain and EDTA bulb with the help of the laboratory expert. Blood samples were centrifuged at 3000rpm for 5 min to separate serum and plasma. Collected samples were analysed immediately for all enzymes, remaining were kept at 4°C for other respective parameters. Analysis of the remaining parameters were completed within a week. Haemogram (Haemoglobin, TC (WBC, RBC and platelets)), Fasting blood glucose, Total Protein, albumin, globulin, lipid parameters such as Cholesterol, TG, LDL, HDL and liver enzymes (SGPT, SGOT, ALP, GGT) were analysed using standard protocols in reputed laboratory.

Antioxidant parameters and oxidative stress marker
Total antioxidant activity (Gallic acid equivalent and Trolox equivalent) [22] and enzymatic antioxidants (SOD [23], GSHPx [24], CAT [25] and GST [26, 27]) were analysed using standard protocol in our laboratory after cross-checking the standards and samples. U.V. Spectrophotometer (Systronic- model no. 117) was used for investigation of all the above parameters. MDA was analysed from plasma by standard method [28].

Statistical analysis
Data are expressed as mean ± S.E. and percentages. Statistical analysis was conducted using t-test.

Ethical approval:
The institutional ethics committee approved the study protocol with reference No. SANPPGI/HREC/UG/PG/1/2.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Anthropometric assessment, clinical parameters and biochemical parameters
Controls and cirrhotic participants were studied for anthropometric assessment, clinical parameters and biochemical parameters. Values are presented in table: 1. BMI of the cirrhotic participants for our study is 24.87 kg/m² which is nearer to the BMI (24.7 kg/m²) of cirrhotic participants of Bagalkot [18]. Our study shows a highly significant increase in the serum enzymes (ALT, AST, GGT and ALP) are in accordance with the study carried out by different scientists [4, 8, 18, 20]. The increase was only for 42-67 % of the participants (ALT (55%), AST (67.5%), GGT (57.5%) and ALP (42.5%)). Data is indicated in figure: 1. Increase in the activities of marker enzymes (AST, GGT) may be due to progression of liver damage [20]. Which can suggest that liver enzymes are insensitive and cannot be used reliably to confirm the diagnosis or stage the extent of fibrosis [11].

Antioxidant parameters and oxidative stress marker
Antioxidant parameters analysed for our study indicate decrease in total antioxidant activity (Gallic acid equivalent and Trolox equivalent) and antioxidant enzymes (GSHPx, GST and CAT) may be due to defences against free radical-mediated injury includes enzymatic deactivation and direct reaction with free radicals [28, 29]. The percentage decrease for total antioxidant activity [Gallic acid equivalent (51.8%) and Trolox equivalent (70.6%)] is depicted in figure: 2. Controls of our study indicates lower value compared to the reference value [30, 31], which further decreases (GAE and TE (P<0.0001)) among cirrhotic participants. Antioxidant enzymes such as GSHPx, GST and CAT also decreases however, SOD found increase, these data are illustrated in figure: 3. Cirrhotic participants indicate decrease in GSHPx is closely related to the study carried out for erythrocyte GSHPx may be due to its association with hemolytic anaemia [16]. Results of our study also indicate lower hemoglobin levels in cirrhotic participants. Low level of catalase and GSHPx reported to decrease erythrocyte protection and cause even greater oxidant stress, predisposing cells to hemolysis [16]. The catalase activity of cirrhotic participants is similar to the study carried out for erythrocytes catalase [16, 18, 19], which could be due to increase in malondialdehyde (MDA) [18]. The increase in serum SOD level in our study is resemble with the study carried out at Turkey [17]. Increase in SOD may be due to the release of injured hepatocytes which decrease lipid peroxidation and lead to detrimental effect on the cellular structures [17] and also indicates disease severity [32]. Oxidative stress plays an essential role in the progression of ALC and ALD [20, 33]. The increases of MDA in our cirrhotic participants is in accordance with the study carried out by various researchers [4, 17, 18, 19, 20, 33]. As oxidative stress increases, antioxidant activity decreases is indicated in figure: 4. Statistical analysis for antioxidant parameters such as total antioxidant activity (Gallic acid equivalent and Trolox
equivalent), antioxidant enzymes (SOD, GSHPx, GST and CAT) and oxidative stress marker is represented in table: 2.

### Table: 1 Various parameters observed in Controls and cirrhotic participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Controls (n=52)</th>
<th>Cirrhosis (n=44)</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>Statistical Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A) Demographic Profile</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>26.71±1.23</td>
<td>53.48±2.02</td>
<td>11.70**</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(39 M/ 13 F)</td>
<td>(34 M/ 10 F)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.28±0.68</td>
<td>24.85±0.62</td>
<td>2.74*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP</td>
<td>77.5±0.61</td>
<td>81.25±1.39</td>
<td>2.60*</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>115.19±0.70</td>
<td>127.07±1.90</td>
<td>6.23**</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>B) Haematological parameters</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (gm/dl)</td>
<td>13.27±0.18</td>
<td>10.43±0.40</td>
<td>6.85**</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total WBC Count (cells/µl)</td>
<td>7.86±0.03</td>
<td>7.09±0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total RBC Count (million cells/µl)</td>
<td>4.50±0.08</td>
<td>3.43±0.12</td>
<td>7.74**</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet Count (cells*10⁵/µl)</td>
<td>3.29±0.08</td>
<td>1.63±0.14</td>
<td>10.34**</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **C) Biochemical parameters**    |                 |                  |         |                          |
| Glucose (mg/dl)                 | 85.87±1.06      | 114.47±6.51      | 4.69**  | P<0.0001                 |
| Total Protein (gm/dl)           | 7.86±0.03       | 7.09±0.14        | 5.93**  | p<0.0001                 |
| Globulin (gm/dl)                | 3.23±0.02       | 3.91±0.16        | 4.67**  | p<0.0001                 |
| A/G ratio                       | 1.44±0.02       | 0.95±0.09        | 5.98**  | p<0.0001                 |

| **D) Lipid profile**            |                 |                  |         |                          |
| Cholesterol (mg/dl)             | 156.67±3.62     | 146.96±8.25      | 1.14    | NS                       |
| Triglyceride (mg/dl)            | 80.58±3.35      | 126.96±14.22     | 3.42**  | p<0.001                  |
| HDL (mg/dl)                     | 46.60±1.39      | 32.83±2.38       | 5.17**  | p<0.0001                 |
| LDL (mg/dl)                     | 93.61±3.76      | 89.66±7.09       | 0.51    | NS                       |
| VLDL (mg/dl)                    | 16.12±0.67      | 27.83±3.33       | 3.73**  | P=0.000                  |
| Cholesterol/HDL ratio           | 3.48±0.11       | 5.72±0.79        | 3.04*   | p<0.05                   |

**Note:** DP- Diastolic pressure, SP- Systolic pressure, Values are expressed as ± S. E. (Standard error), p<0.05 indicates significant level, p<0.0001, p=0.000, p=0.0001 and p<0.001 indicates highly significant value, NS indicates non-significant value
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Figure: 1 Percentage of controls and cirrhotic participants for liver function test
Figure: 2 Total antioxidant activity in terms of GAE and TE of controls and cirrhotic participants.

Figure: 3 Antioxidant enzymes SOD, catalase, GSHPx and GST of controls and cirrhotic participants.
Note: SOD (U/ml), Catalase (µmoles/min/mg of protein), GSHPx (U/ml), GST (IU/l)

Figure: 4 Comparison of antioxidant enzymes and oxidative stress marker of controls and cirrhotic participants.
Table: 2 Statistical analysis of various parameters for cirrhotic participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Statistical significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total antioxidant activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAE (µmol/dl)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE (µmol/dl)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antioxidant enzymes</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD (U/ml)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT (µmol/min/mg of protein)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSHPx (U/ml)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST (U/ml)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oxidative stress marker</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDA (nmol/ml)</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE:

Antioxidant status for all the cirrhotic participants found poor. Results indicate lower value for antioxidant enzymes such as catalase (93.97%), GSHPx (93.22%) and GST (87.02%). All the cirrhotic participants showed a decrease in all antioxidant enzymes except SOD (18.18% of the participants). Three antioxidant enzymes namely catalase, GST and GSHPx extremely decrease in liver cirrhosis participants may be used as a surrogate markers to diagnose the liver cirrhosis condition. Further study on cirrhosis can be done by evaluating more samples from both the genders with hepatitis B and C infection and also at different geographical condition.

LIMITATIONS

Study is limited for alcoholic and non-alcoholic liver cirrhosis condition except hepatitis B and C. Grouping of the cirrhosis condition on the basis of other disease/disorder conditions such as obesity, diabetes mellitus or any other associated condition is not included in present study.
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