



Optimal Control for Singular Systems via Hybrid Functions

Lei Zhang^{1*} and Xing Tao Wang²

¹*Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, China, hitzhanglei@163.com

²Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, China, xingtao@hit.edu.cn

Available online at www.isroset.org

Received: 12 July 2013

Revised: 28 July 2013

Accepted: 12 Jan 2013

Published: 28 Feb 2014

Abstract—By using hybrid functions of general block-pulse functions and Legendre polynomials, the linear-quadratic problem of time-varying singular systems are transformed into the optimization problem of multivariate functions. The approximate solutions of the optimal control and state as well as the optimal value of the objective functional are derived. The numerical examples are worked out.

Keywords—General Block-Pulse Functions; Legendre Polynomials; Singular Systems; Optimal Control

I. INTRODUCTION

Singular systems are a class of important systems. It is known that the analytic solutions of the optimal control problem for singular systems are difficult to be obtained. This encourages us to find some numerical approach to solve the problem. There are many orthogonal functions or polynomials, such as block-pulse functions and Walsh functions [1-4], Laguerre polynomials [5], Chebyshev polynomials [6], Legendre polynomials [7], Hermite polynomials [8], Fourier series [9] and Legendre wavelets [10], which were used to derive solutions of some systems. In recent years hybrid functions [11] were applied. In this article we use the hybrid functions consisting of general block-pulse functions and Legendre polynomials to solve time-varying singular systems and optimal control for time-varying singular systems. We present the general operational matrices. The numerical solutions are derived by hybrid functions.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A set of block-pulse function $b_k(t)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$, on the interval $[t_0, T]$ are defined as

$$b_k(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t_{k-1} \leq t \leq t_k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(1)

where $t_K = T$ and $[t_{k-1}, t_k] \subset [t_0, T]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$.

The Legendre polynomials $L_m(t)$ on the interval $[-1, 1]$ are given by the following recursive formula for $m = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{cases} L_0(t) = 1, & L_1(t) = t, \\ (m+1)L_{m+1}(t) = (2m+1)tL_m(t) - mL_{m-1}(t). \end{cases}$$

(2)

The research was supported partially by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 10871056 and 10971150), Corresponding Author:^{#1}

The hybrid functions $h_{km}(t)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$; $m = 0, 1, \dots,$

$M - 1$, on the interval $[t_0, T]$ are defined as

$$h_{km}(t) = b_k(t)L_m(d_k^{-1}(2t - t_{k-1} - t_k)).$$

(3)
So

$$h_{km}(t) = \begin{cases} L_m(d_k^{-1}(2t - t_{k-1} - t_k)), & t_{k-1} \leq t \leq t_k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(4)

where $d_k = t_{k-1} - t_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$.

Let

$$H_k(t) = [h_{k0}(t), \dots, h_{k,M-1}(t)]^\tau,$$

$$H(t) = [H_1^\tau(t), \dots, H_K^\tau(t)]^\tau,$$

(5)

where τ is the transpose. The operational property of hybrid functions is described by

$$\int_{t_0}^t H(s)ds \approx PH(t)$$

(6)
where

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_K) \otimes \hat{P} + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \sum_{i=1}^{K-k} d_i e_{i,i+k}^{(K)} \otimes e_{11}^{(M)},$$

(7)

$$\hat{P} = e_{11}^{(M)} + \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \left(\frac{1}{2k-1} e_{k,k+1}^{(M)} - \frac{1}{2k+1} e_{k+1,k}^{(M)} \right),$$

and $e_{ij}^{(m)}$ is the $m \times m$ matrix with 1 at its entry (i, j) and zeros elsewhere and \otimes denotes Kronecker product.

An l -dimensional vector function $f(t)$ on the interval $[t_0, T]$ is expressed as

$$(8) \quad f(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} f_{km} h_{km}(t)$$

where

$$(9) \quad f_{km} = \frac{2m+1}{d_k} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} f(t) L_m(d_k^{-1}(2t - t_{k-1} - t_k)) dt.$$

Rewrite $f(t)$ as

$$(10) \quad f(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K F_k H_k(t) = FH(t)$$

where

$$F_k = [f_{k0}, \dots, f_{k,M-1}], \quad F = [F_1, \dots, F_K].$$

(11)

For corresponding F_k and F we denote

$$(12) \quad \hat{F}_k = [f_{k0}^\tau, \dots, f_{k,M-1}^\tau]^\tau, \quad \hat{F} = [\hat{F}_1^\tau, \dots, \hat{F}_K^\tau]^\tau.$$

Let a matrix function $M(t)$ be appropriate to a vector function $f(t)$. We express $M(t)$ and $f(t)$, respectively, as

$$(13) \quad M(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} M_{km} h_{km}(t), \quad f(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} f_{km} h_{km}(t),$$

then

$$M(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} M_{ki} f_{kj} h_{ki}(t) h_{kj}(t).$$

From

$$(14) \quad h_{ki}(t) h_{kj}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(ij)} h_{km}(t)$$

where

$$d_{km}^{(ij)} = \frac{2m+1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 L_i(t) L_j(t) L_m(t) dt,$$

we have

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} M(t) f(t) &\approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(ij)} M_{ki} f_{kj} \right) h_{km}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \tilde{M}_{km} h_{km}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^K \tilde{M}_k H_k(t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(16) \quad \tilde{M}_{km} = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(ij)} M_{ki} f_{kj} = \hat{M}_{km} \quad \hat{F}_k \quad ,$$

$$\tilde{M}_k = [\tilde{M}_{k0}, \dots, \tilde{M}_{k,M-1}],$$

$$\hat{M}_{km} = \left[\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i0)} M_{ki}, \dots, \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i,M-1)} M_{ki} \right].$$

Let

$$(17) \quad \hat{M}_k = [\hat{M}_{k0}^\tau, \dots, \hat{M}_{k,M-1}^\tau]^\tau,$$

$$(18) \quad \hat{\tilde{M}}_k = [\tilde{M}_{k0}^\tau, \dots, \tilde{M}_{k,M-1}^\tau]^\tau.$$

Then

$$(19) \quad \hat{\tilde{M}}_k = \hat{M}_k \quad \hat{F}_k .$$

III. ANALYSIS OF TIME-VARYING SINGULAR SYSTEMS

Consider the following time-varying singular system

$$(20) \quad E(t) \dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + B(t)u(t), \quad x(t_0) = x_0$$

where $x(t)$ is the n-dimensional state function and $u(t)$ r-dimensional control function. $E(t)$, $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ are the matrix functions of appropriate dimensions. $E(t)$ is singular. Suppose that (20) has the unique solution for a given $u(t)$. We express $E(t)$, $\dot{x}(t)$, $A(t)$, $x(t)$, $B(t)$ and $u(t)$, respectively, as

$$(21) \quad E(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} E_{km} h_{km}(t), \quad \dot{x}(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x_{km}^* h_{km}(t),$$

$$(22) \quad A(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} A_{km} h_{km}(t), \quad x(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x_{km} h_{km}(t),$$

$$(23) \quad B(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} B_{km} h_{km}(t), \quad u(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} u_{km} h_{km}(t).$$

(23)

By (15) we obtain

$$(24) \quad E(t) \dot{x}(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \tilde{E}_k H_k(t),$$

$$A(t)x(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \tilde{A}_k H_k(t),$$

$$B(t)u(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \tilde{B}_k H_k(t).$$

Substituting (24) into (20) we have

$$[\tilde{E}_1, \dots, \tilde{E}_K] H(t) = \{[\tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_K] + [\tilde{B}_1, \dots, \tilde{B}_K]\} H(t).$$

Therefore

$$[\hat{\tilde{E}}_1^\tau, \dots, \hat{\tilde{E}}_K^\tau]^\tau = [\hat{\tilde{A}}_1^\tau, \dots, \hat{\tilde{A}}_K^\tau]^\tau + [\hat{\tilde{B}}_1^\tau, \dots, \hat{\tilde{B}}_K^\tau]^\tau$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\tilde{E}}_k &= [\tilde{E}_{k0}^\tau, \dots, \tilde{E}_{k,M-1}^\tau]^\tau, \\ \hat{\tilde{A}}_k &= [\tilde{A}_{k0}^\tau, \dots, \tilde{A}_{k,M-1}^\tau]^\tau, \\ \hat{\tilde{B}}_k &= [\tilde{B}_{k0}^\tau, \dots, \tilde{B}_{k,M-1}^\tau]^\tau.\end{aligned}$$

By (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned}[(\hat{E}_1 \hat{X}_1^*)^\tau, \dots, (\hat{E}_K \hat{X}_K^*)^\tau]^\tau &= [(\hat{A}_1 \hat{X}_1)^\tau, \dots, (\hat{A}_K \hat{X}_K)^\tau]^\tau \\ &\quad + [(\hat{B}_1 \hat{X}_1)^\tau, \dots, (\hat{B}_K \hat{X}_K)^\tau]^\tau.\end{aligned}$$

where \hat{E}_K , \hat{A}_K and \hat{B}_K have the similar meaning as (18) and (19). In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_k^* &= [x_{k0}^{*\tau}, \dots, x_{k,M-1}^{*\tau}]^\tau, \\ \hat{X}_k &= [x_{k0}^\tau, \dots, x_{k,M-1}^\tau]^\tau, \\ \hat{U}_k &= [u_{k0}^\tau, \dots, u_{k,M-1}^\tau]^\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Using Kronecker product we rewrite the above equation as

$$\begin{aligned}(25) \quad \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{E}_k) \hat{X}^* &= \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{A}_k) \hat{X} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{B}_k) \hat{U}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}^* &= [\hat{X}_1^{*\tau}, \dots, \hat{X}_K^{*\tau}]^\tau, \\ \hat{X} &= [\hat{X}_1^\tau, \dots, \hat{X}_K^\tau]^\tau, \\ \hat{U} &= [\hat{U}_1^\tau, \dots, \hat{U}_K^\tau]^\tau.\end{aligned}$$

By (6) we have

$$XH(t) - X_0 H(t) \approx X^* PH(t).$$

Using Kronecker product we have

$$(P^\tau \otimes I_n) \hat{X}^* = \hat{X} - \hat{X}_0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_0 &= [\hat{X}_{01}^\tau, \dots, \hat{X}_{0K}^\tau]^\tau, \\ \hat{X}_{0k} &= [x^\tau(t_0), 0^\tau, \dots, 0^\tau]^\tau, \quad k=1, 2, \dots, K.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{E}_k) \hat{X}^* = \Delta (\hat{X} - \hat{X}_0)$$

where

$$\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{E}_k) [(P^{-1})^\tau \otimes I_n]$$

Applying singular value decomposition to Δ , we have

$$S \Delta V^\tau = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{(MKn-N) \times (MKn-N)} \end{bmatrix}$$

(26)
where

$$\Omega = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N), \quad \sigma_k \neq 0, \quad k=1, \dots, N.$$

(27)
Let

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X} - \hat{X}_0 &= V^\tau \hat{Z} = V^\tau [\hat{Z}_1^\tau, \hat{Z}_2^\tau]^\tau, \\ S \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{A}_k) \hat{X}_0 &= [\hat{X}_{01}^\tau, \hat{X}_{02}^\tau]^\tau, \\ (V^\tau)^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{V}_{11} & \tilde{V}_{12} \\ \tilde{V}_{21} & \tilde{V}_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad S \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{B}_k) = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ (28) \quad S \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{A}_k) & \quad V^\tau = \begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} \\ W_{21} & W_{22} \end{bmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

(29)

where \hat{Z} is the MKn -dimensional vector; \hat{Z}_1 and \hat{X}_{01} are N -dimensional vectors. \tilde{V}_{11} and W_{11} are $N \times N$ matrices and L_1 an $N \times Kr$ matrix. Combining (25) and (28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(30) \quad S \Delta V^\tau \hat{Z} &= S \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{A}_k) V^\tau \hat{Z} \\ &\quad + S \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{B}_k) \hat{U} + S \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{A}_k) V^\tau \\ &\quad \hat{X}_0.\end{aligned}$$

Rewrite (30) as

$$\begin{cases} \Omega \hat{Z}_1 = W_{11} \hat{Z}_1 + W_{12} \hat{Z}_2 + \hat{X}_{01}, \\ W_{21} \hat{Z}_1 + W_{22} \hat{Z}_2 + L_2 \hat{U} + \hat{X}_{02}. \end{cases}$$

(31)
Let

$$(32) \quad \hat{Y} = \Omega \hat{Z}_1.$$

Then

$$(33) \quad \hat{Y} = C \hat{Y} + D \hat{U} + \hat{Y}_0$$

where

$$\begin{cases} C = (W_{11} - W_{12}W_{22}^{-1}W_{21})\Omega^{-1}, \\ D = L_1 - W_{12}W_{22}^{-1}L_2, \\ \hat{Y}_0 = \hat{X}_{01} - W_{12}W_{22}^{-1}\hat{X}_{02}. \end{cases}$$

(34)
By (28) and (31) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X} &= V^\tau \begin{bmatrix} \hat{Z}_1 \\ \hat{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \hat{X}_0 \\ &= V^\tau \begin{bmatrix} \Omega^{-1} \hat{Y} \\ W_{22}^{-1}[-W_{21}\Omega^{-1} \hat{Y} - L_2 \hat{U} - \hat{X}_{02}] \end{bmatrix} + \hat{X}_0 \\ &\quad + V^\tau \begin{bmatrix} I_N \\ -W_{22}^{-1}W_{21} \end{bmatrix} + \Omega^{-1} \hat{Y} \\ &+ V^\tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -W_{22}^{-1}L_2 \end{bmatrix} \hat{U} \\ &\quad + V^\tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -W_{22}^{-1} \hat{X}_{02} \end{bmatrix} + \hat{X}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (33) into the above equation we have

$$\hat{X} = \Phi \hat{U} + G$$

(35)
where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= V^\tau [I_N, -(W_{22}^{-1}W_{21})^\tau]^\tau \Omega^{-1} (I_N - C)^{-1} D \\ &\quad + V^\tau [0^\tau, -(W_{22}^{-1}L_2)^\tau]^\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G &= V^\tau [I_N, -(W_{22}^{-1}W_{21})^\tau]^\tau \Omega^{-1} (I_N - C)^{-1} \hat{Y}_0 \\ &\quad + V^\tau [0^\tau, -(W_{22}^{-1} \hat{X}_{02})^\tau]^\tau + \hat{X}_0. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

IV. OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEM

Given a time-varying singular system described by (20), we want to find the optimal control which minimizes the cost functional

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^T [x^\tau(t) Q(t) x(t) + u^\tau(t) R(t) u(t)] dt$$

(38)

where the matrices $Q(t)$ and $R(t)$ are positive-semidefinite and positive-definite, respectively, for any $t \in [t_0, T]$. We express $x(t)$, $u(t)$, $Q(t)x(t)$ and $R(t)u(t)$, respectively, as

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x_{km} h_{km}(t), \quad u(t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} u_{km} h_{km}(t), \\ Q(t)x(t) &\approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \tilde{Q}_{km} h_{km}(t), \\ R(t)u(t) &\approx \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \tilde{R}_{km} h_{km}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above equations into (38) we have

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} (x_{km}^\tau \tilde{Q}_{km} + u_{km}^\tau \tilde{R}_{km}) \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} h_{km}^2(t) dt.$$

Rewrite the above equation as

$$J = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{X}^\tau \bar{Q} \hat{X} + \hat{U}^\tau \bar{R} \hat{U})$$

(39)

where

$$\bar{Q} = \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{Q}_k), \quad (40)$$

$$\hat{Q}_k = d_k [\hat{Q}_{k0}^\tau, \frac{1}{3} \hat{Q}_{k1}^\tau, \dots, \frac{1}{2M+1} \hat{Q}_{k,M-1}^\tau], \quad (41)$$

$$\hat{Q}_{km} = \left[\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i0)} Q_{kj}, \dots, \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i,M-1)} Q_{kj} \right], \quad (42)$$

$$\bar{R} = \sum_{k=1}^K (e_{kk}^{(K)} \otimes \hat{R}_k), \quad (43)$$

$$\hat{R}_k = d_k [\hat{R}_{k0}^\tau, \frac{1}{3} \hat{R}_{k1}^\tau, \dots, \frac{1}{2M+1} \hat{R}_{k,M-1}^\tau], \quad (44)$$

$$\hat{R}_{km} = \left[\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i0)} R_{kj}, \dots, \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} d_{km}^{(i,M-1)} R_{kj} \right]. \quad (45)$$

$$\text{By means of } \frac{\partial J}{\partial \hat{U}} = 0, \text{ we have } \Phi^\tau \bar{Q} \hat{X} + \bar{R} \hat{U} = 0. \quad (46)$$

Then

$$\hat{U} = -\bar{R}^{-1} \Phi^\tau \bar{Q} \hat{X}.$$

(46)

From (35) we have

$$\hat{X} = (I_{MKn} + \Phi \bar{R}^{-1} \Phi^\tau \bar{Q})^{-1} G, \quad (47)$$

$$\hat{U} = -\bar{R}^{-1} \Phi^\tau \bar{Q} (I_{MKn} + \Phi \bar{R}^{-1} \Phi^\tau \bar{Q})^{-1} G. \quad (48)$$

V. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Example 1: Consider the time-varying singular system described by

$$\begin{bmatrix} t & 0 \\ t & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -2t^2 - 2 & 2t^2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} u(t),$$

$$x(0) = [-1, 1]^\tau.$$

Let $u(t) = -1$ and $T = 1$. Then by (35) and taking $K = 3$, $t_k = k/K$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, K$ and $M = 4$ we have

the hybrid solution $x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t)]^\tau$:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) = & -\frac{26}{27}h_{10}(t) + \frac{1}{18}h_{11}(t) + \frac{1}{54}h_{12}(t) \\ & -\frac{20}{27}h_{20}(t) + \frac{1}{6}h_{21}(t) + \frac{1}{54}h_{22}(t) \\ & -\frac{8}{27}h_{30}(t) + \frac{5}{18}h_{31}(t) + \frac{1}{54}h_{32}(t), \\ x_2(t) = & \frac{28}{27}h_{10}(t) + \frac{1}{18}h_{11}(t) + \frac{1}{54}h_{12}(t) \\ & +\frac{34}{27}h_{20}(t) + \frac{1}{6}h_{21}(t) + \frac{1}{54}h_{22}(t) \\ & +\frac{46}{27}h_{30}(t) + \frac{5}{18}h_{31}(t) + \frac{1}{54}h_{32}(t). \end{aligned}$$

It can be checked that the hybrid solutions are equal to the exact solutions.

Example 2: Consider the time-varying singular system described by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2t^2 + 2 & t^2 + 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & t+1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ t^2 + 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t),$$

$$x(0) = [1, 1]^\tau.$$

where $x(t)$ is 2-dimensional state function and $u(t)$ 1-dimensional control function, we want to find the optimal control which minimizes the cost functional

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [x^\tau(t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ t & t^2 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + (t^2 + 1)u^2(t)] dt.$$

By (47) and (48), taking $K = 3$, $t_k = k/K$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, K$ and $M = 5$ we have the hybrid solution

$x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t)]^\tau$ and $u(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) = & \frac{2065}{1557}h_{10}(t) + \frac{130}{963}h_{11}(t) + \frac{55}{6602}h_{12}(t) \\ & -\frac{28}{67489}h_{13}(t) - \frac{3}{230560}h_{14}(t) + \frac{941}{575}h_{20}(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & +\frac{1483}{8651}h_{21}(t) + \frac{56}{16523}h_{22}(t) - \frac{21}{27253}h_{23}(t) \\ & -\frac{14}{144535}h_{24}(t) + \frac{5998}{3039}h_{30}(t) + \frac{328}{2123}h_{31}(t) \\ & -\frac{168}{16477}h_{32}(t) - \frac{31}{22855}h_{33}(t) + \frac{29}{192550}h_{34}(t) \\ & , \\ x_2(t) = & \frac{829}{2310}h_{10}(t) - \frac{941}{3719}h_{11}(t) - \frac{37}{3269}h_{12}(t) \\ & +\frac{21}{38632}h_{13}(t) - \frac{4}{90193}h_{14}(t) - \frac{263}{1279}h_{20}(t) \\ & -\frac{1733}{5629}h_{21}(t) - \frac{34}{5401}h_{22}(t) + \frac{19}{16771}h_{23}(t) \\ & +\frac{1}{4099}h_{24}(t) - \frac{635}{784}h_{30}(t) - \frac{681}{2492}h_{31}(t) \\ & +\frac{435}{19963}h_{32}(t) + \frac{25}{7506}h_{33}(t) - \frac{23}{94536}h_{34}(t) \\ & , \\ u(t) = & -\frac{638}{1819}h_{10}(t) + \frac{212}{813}h_{11}(t) + \frac{99}{12532}h_{12}(t) \\ & -\frac{86}{24177}h_{13}(t) + \frac{15}{190621}h_{14}(t) + \frac{221}{1440}h_{20}(t) \\ & +\frac{211}{943}h_{21}(t) - \frac{89}{5284}h_{22}(t) - \frac{53}{34566}h_{23}(t) \\ & +\frac{16}{323711}h_{24}(t) + \frac{1631}{3464}h_{30}(t) + \frac{439}{5163}h_{31}(t) \\ & -\frac{198}{7313}h_{32}(t) + \frac{18}{152083}h_{33}(t) + \frac{22}{77923}h_{34}(t) \\ & . \end{aligned}$$

By (39) we have $J = \frac{624}{581}$.

Example 3: Consider the time-varying singular system described by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & t^2 + 1 & t^2 + 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t & 1 & t^2 + 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t)$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t+1 \\ t & 1 \\ 1 & t^2+1 \end{bmatrix} u(t), \quad x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

where $x(t)$ is 3-dimensional state function and $u(t)$ 2-dimensional control function, we want to find the optimal control which minimizes the cost functional

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 [x^\tau(t) \begin{bmatrix} t^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 & t \\ 0 & t & 1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + u^\tau(t) u(t)] dt.$$

Taking $M = 5$, $K = 3$, $t_k = k/K$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, K$, and by (47) and (48) we have the hybrid solutions $x(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t)]^\tau$ and $u(t) = [u_1(t), u_2(t)]^\tau$:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= \frac{829}{967} h_{10}(t) - \frac{818}{5131} h_{11}(t) - \frac{82}{8589} h_{12}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{62}{8647} h_{13}(t) - \frac{3}{28075} h_{14}(t) + \frac{498}{829} h_{20}(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{363}{5491} h_{21}(t) + \frac{133}{4567} h_{22}(t) + \frac{9}{28057} h_{23}(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{6}{18877} h_{24}(t) + \frac{194}{307} h_{30}(t) + \frac{228}{2551} h_{31}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{475}{24611} h_{32}(t) - \frac{80}{37167} h_{33}(t) - \frac{7}{29461} h_{34}(t) \\ , \\ x_2(t) &= \frac{1335}{962} h_{10}(t) + \frac{1003}{1714} h_{11}(t) - \frac{165}{12643} h_{12}(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{170}{8397} h_{13}(t) + \frac{32}{15715} h_{14}(t) + \frac{1251}{544} h_{20}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{929}{2881} h_{21}(t) - \frac{284}{11065} h_{22}(t) + \frac{332}{41737} h_{23}(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{18}{26195} h_{24}(t) + \frac{431}{150} h_{30}(t) + \frac{502}{1939} h_{31}(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{87}{5035} h_{32}(t) - \frac{611}{92363} h_{33}(t) - \frac{29}{26141} h_{34}(t) \\ , \\ x_3(t) &= \frac{203}{250} h_{10}(t) - \frac{181}{511} h_{11}(t) + \frac{215}{5003} h_{12}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{559}{30217} h_{13}(t) - \frac{41}{16978} h_{14}(t) + \frac{452}{919} h_{20}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{56}{2677} h_{21}(t) + \frac{127}{3553} h_{22}(t) - \frac{129}{14219} h_{23}(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\quad + \frac{18}{21409} h_{24}(t) + \frac{1618}{2515} h_{30}(t) + \frac{656}{5547} h_{31}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{277}{13523} h_{32}(t) + \frac{83}{13044} h_{33}(t) + \frac{13}{10950} h_{34}(t) \\ , \\ u_1(t) &= -\frac{4000}{4459} h_{10}(t) + \frac{1134}{3049} h_{11}(t) + \frac{999}{16883} h_{12}(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{205}{8772} h_{13}(t) - \frac{157}{81143} h_{14}(t) - \frac{383}{1718} h_{20}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{827}{4136} h_{21}(t) - \frac{1395}{21623} h_{22}(t) + \frac{97}{20900} h_{23}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{120}{90703} h_{24}(t) - \frac{364}{6751} h_{30}(t) + \frac{245}{7569} h_{31}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{254}{19571} h_{32}(t) + \frac{45}{5293} h_{33}(t) + \frac{11}{182203} h_{34}(t) \\ , \\ u_2(t) &= -\frac{2137}{2680} h_{10}(t) - \frac{405}{2821} h_{11}(t) + \frac{228}{1831} h_{12}(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{125}{61771} h_{13}(t) - \frac{29}{11068} h_{14}(t) - \frac{315}{583} h_{20}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{911}{2983} h_{21}(t) + \frac{79}{6051} h_{22}(t) - \frac{47}{4344} h_{23}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{32}{39001} h_{24}(t) - \frac{165}{3628} h_{30}(t) + \frac{753}{6050} h_{31}(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{137}{1952} h_{32}(t) - \frac{111}{13087} h_{33}(t) - \frac{13}{42821} h_{34}(t) \\ . \end{aligned}$$

By (39) we have $J = \frac{1747}{661}$.

VI. CONCLUSION

By the excellent properties of operational matrices of the hybrid function of general block-pulse functions and Legendre polynomials, the general algorithms for the time-varying singular system and the optimal control problem are convenient for application. The examples illustrate this approach.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Sannuti, "Analysis and Synthesis of Dynamic Systems via Block-pulse Functions", Proceedings of the Institution of Electrical Engineers, Vol. 124, Issue-6, **1977**, pp.569-571.
- [2] G.P. Rao and L. Sivakumar, "Analysis and Synthesis of Dynamic Systems Containing Time Delays via Block-pulse Functions", Proceedings of the Institution of Electrical Engineers, Vol.125, Issue-9, **1978**, pp. **1064-1068**.

- [3] A. Deba, G. Sarkara, A. Biswasb and P. Mandal, "Numerical Instability of Deconvolution Operation via Block Pulse Functions", Journal of the Franklin Institute, Vol.345, Issue-4, **2008**, pp. **319--327**.
- [4] K.R. Palanisamy and G.P. Rao, "Optimal Control of Linear Systems with Delays in State and Control via Walsh Function", IEE Proceedings D (Control Theory and Applications), Vol.130, Issue-6, **1983**, pp. **300-312**.
- [5] C. Hwang and Y.P. Shih, "Laguerre Series Solution of a Functional Differential Equation", International Journal of Systems Science, Vol.13, Issue-7, **1982**, pp. **783-788**.
- [6] I.R. Horng and J.H. Chou, "Analysis, Parameter Estimation and Optimal Control of Time-delay Systems via Chebyshev Series", International Journal of Control, Vol.41, Issue-5, **1985**, pp. **1221-1234**.
- [7] H. Lee and F.C. Kung, "Shifted Legendre Series Solution and Parameter Estimation of Llinear Delayed Systems", International Journal of Systems Science, Vol.16, Issue-10, **1985**, pp. **1249-1256**.
- [8] S. Yalcinbas, M. Aynigula and M. Sezer, "A collocation Method Using Hermite Polynomials for Approximate Solution of Pantograph Equations", Journal of the Franklin Institute, Vol.348, Issue-6, **2011**, pp. **1128-1139**.
- [9] P.N. Paraskevopoulos, P.D. Sparis and S.G. Mouroutsos, "The Fourier Series Operational Matrix of Integration", International Journal of Systems Science, Vol.16, Issue-2, **1985**, pp. **171-176**.
- [10] X.T. Wang and Y.M. Li, "Numerical Solution of Fredholm Integral Equation of the Second Kind by General Legendre Wavelets", International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control, Vol.8, Issue-1(B), **2012**, pp. **799-805**.
- [11] K. Maleknejad and Y. Mahmoudi, "Numerical Solution of Linear Fredholm Integral Equation by Using Hybrid Taylor and Block-pulse Functions", Applied Mathematics and Computation, Vol.149, Issue-3, **2004**, pp. **799-806**.

AUTHORS PROFILE

Lei Zhang is a PhD student at Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Harbin Institute of Technology (HIT), in Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, People's Republic of China. In July 2011, she obtained her MS in Mathematics from HIT. Her main research interests are optimization and control. As a PhD student, she has 5 research articles published or submitted in reputed international journals.



Xing Tao Wang is presently employed as a professor at Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Harbin Institute of Technology (HIT), in Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, People's Republic of China. He obtained his PhD from HIT. He is an active researcher coupled with the vast teaching experience. He has taught various courses such as Differential Equations, Variational Method and Optimal Control. His main research interests ranges from algebra and its applications to optimization and control. He has published more than 30 research articles in reputed international journals.

