

Research Article

Performance of Hybrid Rice varieties JRH-5 and JRH-19 in front line demonstrations under irrigated conditions in agro climatic zone Chhattisgarh plains of Madhya Pradesh

Uttam Kumar Bisen^{1*} , Vikram Singh Gaur² , Ramkrishna Singh Solanki³ 

¹Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Balaghat, M.P., India

²Department of Agriculture Biotechnology, College of Agriculture, Balaghat, M.P., India

³Department of Math & Statistics, College of Agriculture, Balaghat, M.P., India

*Corresponding Author: uk_bisen@rediffmail.com

Received: 27/Dec/2023; Accepted: 23/Jan/2024; Published: 29/Feb/2024. | DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26438/ijrsbs/v11i1.4650>

Abstract— Frontline demonstrations were conducted with scientific package and practices in the farmer's fields located in the Chhattisgarh plain agro climatic zone of Balaghat district, Madhya Pradesh to evaluate the performance of two short duration hybrid rice varieties JRH-5 and JRH-19 under irrigated ecosystem during the *Kharif* season of two consecutive years 2016 and 2017. The data on yield and economics of demonstrated plots when compared with the farmers rice cultivating practices on the popular rice variety MTU-1010 revealed that both the Hybrid Rice varieties performed superior to MTU1010. JRH-5 and JRH-19 with improved production technologies showed increased mean grain yield by 27.64% over existing farmers practices with only Rs. 2638/ha extra expenditure on inputs. In addition to this, the mean extension gap (11.88 q/ha) and mean IBCR (5.80) recorded were sufficiently high to motivate farmers to adopt JRH-5 and JRH-19 with the improved rice production technology.

Keywords — Front line demonstration, Hybrid rice, Technology gap, Extension gap, Yield.

1. Introduction

Rice is the single most important crop of the world as half of the world population eats rice every day. Rice supplies 20% of the world's dietary energy supply, while wheat and maize supply 19% and 5% respectively. In some Asian countries, rice even provides over 70% of calorie supply [1].

In India, rice occupies second position both in area and production followed by wheat. Rice occupies about 43.90 million hectares of area with total production 114.45 million tonnes and productivity of 2607 kg/ha [2]. The area under rice is fluctuates every year depending upon the rainfall.

Madhya Pradesh is a centrally located state of India between the latitude of 21°6' to 26°30' North and longitude of 74°9' to 82°48' East. The state has an agrarian economy and is the second largest producer of food grains in the country. Agriculture and allied services contribute about 44% share in state economy and 78% of its working force is directly engaged in Agriculture. The area, production and productivity of paddy are 3.40 million hectare, 12.31 million tonnes and 3617 kg/ha respectively of Madhya Pradesh [3]. The state is expected to produce 13.18 million tonnes of rice

in 2022-23 (advance estimates) as compared to 5.36 million tonnes in 2013-14 [4].

The Balaghat district of Madhya Pradesh is located in the extreme South-West of the Madhya Pradesh state and occupies the south eastern portion of the Satpura Range and the upper valley of the Wainganga River. The district extends from 21°19' to 22°24' North latitude and 79°31' to 81°3' East longitude with quadrangle shape. The Balaghat district is classified under rice zone of Chhattisgarh plain, which is characterized by varying soil types ranging from a mixed red and yellow to shallow and moderately deep soil strata with low water holding capacity. Soils of the Balaghat district are poor in available nitrogen and phosphorous. However, medium to high Potassium is available with pH range 6.4 to 7.2. The climate of the zone is typically semi-humid and sub-tropical with hot dry summers and cold winters. The mean minimum temperature of the zone varies from 16° to 30° C (June to Nov.) at Balaghat with average annual rainfall of 1250 mm. The field crops of district in Kharif are rice, minor millets, pigeon pea, maize and in Rabi are rice, wheat, chickpea, teora, urad, moong, mustard, linseed. The district is the second highest producer of rice in the state (1.02 million tonnes) which is

8.29% of total production in state with area of 0.31 million ha and 3305 kg/ha yield [3].

Rice productivity in India is lower than China due to uneven erratic rainfall, unbalanced and inadequate use of fertilizer and incidence of pest and diseases[5]. Therefore, there is a need to promote the early duration high yielding varieties/hybrids with adoption of plant protection measures and new agronomic practices such as System of Rice Intensification for combating water scarcity and economic losses in the region[6]. However, unfortunately, a wide gap exists between the available techniques and its actual application by the farmers, which reflected by the poor yield in the farmers' field. Farmers are generally practicing old age seedlings and imbalance use of fertilizers. Thus, there is tremendous opportunity for increasing the production and productivity of rice by adopting the improved production technologies. A range of rice production technologies have been developed during the recent years, but the productivity of rice is still low due to poor transfer of technologies from the research farms to the farmers' fields. Very little new knowledge percolates to the farmers' field, hence a vast gap has been observed between knowledge production and knowledge utilization. Fortunately, Front Line Demonstrations (FLD) on rice including recently released high yielding varieties with INM, IWM and IPM on farmers' field may be helpful as its objectives is two-fold. First is to

demonstrate the relative yield advantage and second is to address the increase cropping intensity, weed management and plant protection measures over existing farmer's practices.

2. Material and Methods

The constraints in production were identified through participatory approach such as farmer's meetings, training programmes and field diagnostic visits during crop growth period. Low yield of rice was conceived due to lack of suitable variety of rice, imbalance use of fertilizers, old age seedling, drought, infestation of weeds and improper crop geometry. Based on the farmer's problems, The College of Agriculture, Waraseoni (Balaghat) conducted FLD's on rice during two consecutive seasons of *Kharif* 2016 and 2017 on early maturing Hybrid rice varieties JRH-5 and JRH-19 developed by Jawaharlal Nehru Agriculture University, Jabalpur, respectively under irrigated ecosystem at two Blocks of Balaghat District of Madhya Pradesh (Table 1). Hybrid rice JRH-5 and JRH-19 both are medium slender grain, 100-105 Days crop duration and short plant height (100-105 cm) varieties. The area under each demonstration was 0.4 ha. The farmers selected for demonstrations were from different social background having rice area ranging from 2.5 to 5 acres

Table 1: Front line demonstration and carrying out site.

Year	Variety	Check	No. of FLD	Area (ha)	Village	Block
2016	JRH-5	MTU-1010	25	10(0.4ha/FLD)	Aanwajhari	Balaghat
2017	JRH-19	MTU-1010	25	10(0.4ha/FLD)	Nevergaon	Lalburra

To manage the identified problems, JRH-5 and JRH-19 varieties seeds were provided to the farmers as critical inputs and scientific recommended technologies (Table 2) were followed as intervention during the course of front line demonstration programme.

Table 2: Technological intervention and farmer's practices under FLD.

Particulars	Technological intervention	Existing practices
Variety	JRH-5, JRH-19	MTU-1010
Seed rate (kg/ha)	15	35-40
Seed treatment	Carbendazim + Mancozeb (2g/kg seed)	No seed treatment
Age of Seedling	15-18	20-30
Transplanting Method	SRI/Line transplanting	Local practices
Fertilizer (NPK)	100:60:40 + 20 (Zinc sulphate 21%)	100:60:30/60:40:00
Weed management	Spray of 0.75kg/ha Pendimethalin herbicide + one hand weeding	Only one hand weeding
Insects	Stem borer, gall midge,	Stem borer, gall midge
Diseases	Sheath blight, Blast	Sheath blight, Blast
Insecticides	Chlorpyrifos 50% EC	Chlorpyrifos 50% EC
Fungicides	Hexaconazole 5% SC, Propiconazole 25% EC	Tricyclazole 85% WP
Harvesting	Reaper	Manually/Reaper
Threshing	Thresher	Thresher/ Manually
Labour saving	55 man-day /ha	68 man-day /ha

Then nursery was raised during the onset of monsoon in the second fortnight of June each year. Rice seedlings of 15-18 days old were transplanted in the fields during mid of July every year. The demonstrations on farmer's fields were regularly monitored from nursery raising to harvesting. In case of local check (control plots), existing farmers' practices were followed by the farmers. Well before conducting the demonstrations, a training programme

was organized for the selected farmers of the respective villages each year to impart the technological knowledge of rice production techniques. All other steps like site selection, layout of demonstrations, farmers' participation etc. were followed as suggested by [7]. The grain yield of demonstrations as well as farmers' practice (local check) were recorded and analysed according to different

parameters as earlier suggested [8]. The details of these parameters are as:

Extension gap = Demonstration yield – Farmer's yield

Technology gap = Potential yield - Demonstration yield

Technology index (%) = $\frac{\text{Technology gap}}{\text{Potential yield}} \times 100$

Additional Cost = Demonstration cost of cultivation - Farmer's cost of cultivation

Additional Return = Demonstration return - Farmer's return

Effective Gain = Additional return - Additional cost

Increment B: C ratio = $\frac{\text{Additional return}}{\text{Additional cost}}$

3. Result and Discussion

Grain yield: The increase in grain yield under demonstration was 26.29-28.99% percent than farmers' local practices. On the basis of two years, 27.64 percent yield advantage was recorded under demonstrations carried out with improved cultivation technology as compared to farmer's traditional way of paddy cultivation.

Gap analysis: An extension gap of 11.50-12.25 q/ha was found between demonstrated technology and farmer's practices during different two-years and on average basis the extension gap was 11.88 q/ha (Table 3). The extension gap was lowest (11.50 q/ha) during *kharif* 2016 and was highest (12.25 q/ha) during *kharif* 2017. Such gap might be attributed to adoption of improved technology in demonstrations which resulted in higher grain yield than the traditional farmer's practices. Wide technology gap was observed during different years and this was lowest (9.75 q/ha) during *kharif* 2016 and was highest (10.50 q/ha) during *kharif* 2017.

On two years' average basis the technology gap of total 50 demonstrations was found as 10.13 q/ha. The difference in technology gap during different years could be due to more feasibility of recommended technologies during different years. Similarly, the technology index for all the demonstrations during different years were in accordance with technology gap. Higher technology index reflected the inadequate proven technology for transferring to farmers and insufficient extension services for transfer of technology. Technology index shows the feasibility of the variety at the farmer's field. The lower the value of technology index more is the feasibility. Table 3 revealed that the technology index value was 15.58. The findings of the present study are in line with earlier findings [9,10].

Economic analysis: Different variables like seed, fertilizers, biofertilizers and pesticides were considered as cash inputs for the demonstrations as well as farmer's practice and on an average an additional investment of Rs. 2638 per ha was made under demonstrations. Economic returns as a function of grain yield and MSP sale price varied during different years. Maximum returns (Rs. 16213 per ha) during the year *kharif* 2017 was obtained due to higher grain yield. These results are in line with the earlier findings [9]. The higher additional returns and effective gain obtained under demonstrations could be due to improved technology, non-monetary factors, timely operations of crop cultivation and scientific monitoring. The lowest and highest incremental benefit: cost ratios (IBCR) were 5.76 & 5.84 in *kharif* 2016 and *kharif* 2017 respectively (Table 4) depends on produced grain yield and MSP sale rates. Overall average IBCR was found as 5.80. Similar results have also been earlier reported [11,12,13,14].

Table 3: Gap in grain yield production of Hybrid JRH-5 and JRH-19 under FLD.

Season-Year variety	Potential Yield (q/ha)	Demonstration Yield (q/ha)	Farmer's practice Yield (q/ha)	Increase over Farmer's practices (%)	Extension gap (q/ha)	Technology gap (q/ha)	Technology index (%)
<i>Kharif</i> -2016 JRH-5	65.00	55.25	43.75	26.29	11.50	9.75	15.00
<i>Kharif</i> -2017 JRH-19	65.00	54.50	42.25	28.99	12.25	10.50	16.15
Average	65.00	54.88	43.00	27.64	11.88	10.13	15.58

Table 4: Economic impact of Hybrid JRH-5 and JRH-19 under FLD

Season-Year variety	Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)		Additional cost in Demo. (Rs./ha)	Sale price (MSP) of (Rs./q)	Net Return (Rs/ha)		Additional return in Demo. (Rs./ha)	Effective gain (Rs./ha)	IBCR
	Demo.	FP			Demo.	FP			
<i>Kharif</i> -2016 JRH-5	36875	34375	2500	1470	44343	29938	14405	11905	5.76
<i>Kharif</i> -2017 JRH-19	38400	35625	2775	1550	46075	29863	16213	13438	5.84
Average	37638	35000	2638	1510	45209	29900	15309	12671	5.80

4. Conclusion

Front line demonstration program was effective in changing attitude, skill and knowledge of improved / recommended practices of paddy cultivation including adoption. This also improved the relationship between farmers and scientists and

built confidence between them. During demonstration the farmers also acted as primary source of information on the improved practices of paddy cultivation and also acted as new source of good quality pure seeds in their locality and surrounding area for the next crop. The Hybrid JRH-5 and JRH-19 variety of paddy and production technologies

followed in demonstrations, on an average increased the grain yield by 27.64% over existing farmer's practice. The increment in yield cost only Rs. 2638/ha. This amount is so negligible that even small and marginal farmers can afford it. The mean extension gap (11.88 q/ha) and IBCR (5.80) are sufficiently high to motivate the farmers for adoption of Hybrid JRH-5 and JRH-19. Favourable benefit-cost ratio itself explanatory of economic viability of the demonstration and convinced the farmers for adoption of intervention imparted. The concept of Front-line demonstration may be applied to all farmer categories including progressive farmers for speedy and wider dissemination of the recommended practices to other members of the farming community. This will help in the removal of the cross-sectional barrier of the farming population. The yield gap in paddy can be overcome, through the wide publicity of the improved practices paddy cultivation by use of various extensions methodologies including Front Line Demonstrations as one of the most important method to show the result of improved practices.

Data availability

None

Conflict of Interest

None

Funding Source

None

Acknowledgements

None

Author Contributions

Uttam Kumar Bisen wrote the abstract, designed the work, prepared the draft and finally the proof reading of the research paper

Vikram Singh Gaur wrote the introduction, results, and conclusion and finally the proof reading of the research paper

Ramkrishna Singh Solanki performed the statistical analysis, wrote the results, and conclusion and finally the proof reading of the research paper

References

- [1] A N M Bin Rahman, Jianhua Zhang, "Trends in rice research: 2030 and beyond," *Food and Energy Security*, Wiley, Vol.12, Issue.2, 2023.
- [2] Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2022, Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Department of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare Economics & Statistics Division, 2022.
- [3] Agriculture Statistics 2020-21, "Government of Madhya Pradesh, Farmers Welfare and Agricultural Development Department, District wise Area, Production & Yield - Crop wise," 2020-21.
- [4] Madhya Pradesh Economic Survey. Government of Madhya Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh State Policy and Planning Commission. 2022-23.
- [5] World Agriculture Production 2023. United States Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service, Circular Series. WAP, July, pp.7-23, 2023.
- [6] H Laulanié, "System of rice intensification. *Tropicultura (Brussels)*", Vol.13, pp.110-114, 1993.
- [7] B N Choudhary, "Krishi Vigyan Kendra-A guide for KVK managers," Publication, *Division of Agricultural Extension*, ICAR, pp.73-78, 1999.
- [8] Naresh Kumar Bisen, N K Singh, and R S Solanki, "Productivity Enhancement of Rapeseed-Mustard through Front Line Demonstration in Seoni District of Madhya Pradesh, India," *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, Vol.9, Issue.4, pp.1983-1989, 2020.
- [9] N.K Singh, Sanjeev Kumar, Wazid Hasan, Anand Kumar, "Impact of Frontline Demonstration of KVK on the Yield of Paddy (Sahbhagidhan) in Nalanda District of Bihar, India," *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. Vol.7, Issue.3, pp.3606-3610, 2018.
- [10] Vijendra Kumar Meena, Shoji Joy Edison, Shinoj Subramani, "Frontline demonstration an effective way of popularization of system of rice intensification (SRI)," *Agricultural Science Digest*, Vol.35, Issue.3, pp.215-217, 2015.
- [11] Teekam Singh, Ranjeet Singh, R Soni, "Performance of rice variety P 1460 in front line demonstrations under rainfed conditions in Southern Humid Region of Rajasthan," *Annals of Agricultural Research*. Vol.33, pp.121-125, 2012.
- [12] R Girish, Bharath Kumar, HR Shruthi, L Shivakumar and KM Praveen, "Frontline demonstration on paddy variety KPR 1 by KVK in Chikkamagaluru district of Karnataka, India: An impact study," *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, Vol.9, Issue.2, pp.303-305, 2020
- [13] Subash Chandra Deb, Abul Khair, "Enhancement of Germination and Seedling Health of Rice by Seed Treatment with Antagonist Fungi", *International Journal of Scientific Research in Biological Sciences*, Vol.7, Issue.5, pp.47-52, 2020
- [14] N. Gandhi, M. Madhan Obul Reddy, D. Madhusudhan Reddy, "Phytoremediation of Rice Parboiling Industry Wastewater by Micro Algae and Utilization of Treated Water for Crop Production", *International Journal of Scientific Research in Biological Sciences*, Vol.9, Issue.1, pp.63-75, 2022.

AUTHORS PROFILE

Uttam Kumar Bisen earned her graduation, post-graduation Agriculture and Genetics & Plant Breeding from JNKVV, Jabalpur in the year 1997 and 1999 respectively and Ph.D. degree in Lifescience from Rani Durgawati Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur in the year 2014. He is currently working as an Assistant Professor in Genetics & Plant Breeding at College of Agriculture, Balaghat (JNKVV, Jabalpur), Madhya Pradesh, India. He has a total teaching experience of 9 years.



Vikram Singh Gaur earned her graduation, post-graduation and PhD degree in Agriculture, Agriculture Biotechnology and Molecular Biology & Biotechnology from OUAT, Bhubaneswar, CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Palampur, GB Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand in the years 2000, 2004 and 2010 respectively. He is currently working as an Assistant Professor in Agriculture



Biotechnology College of Agriculture, Balaghat (JNKVV, Jabalpur), Madhya Pradesh, India. He has a total teaching experience of 9 years.

Ramkrishna Singh Solanki earned his graduation, post-graduation in Statistics from Government Holkar Science College, Indore, Madhya Pradesh in the year 2007 and 2009 respectively and and Ph.D. degree in Statistics from Vikram University, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh. He is currently working as an Assistant Professor in Mathematics & Statistics at College of Agriculture, Balaghat (JNKVV, Jabalpur), Madhya Pradesh, India. He has a total teaching experience of 9 years.

